Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,451	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARIA LEAVITT	1633	

	MARIA LEAVITT	1633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		-	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 5, 6, 8, 13, 18, 23, 30- 32, 34 and 41</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>35 and 36</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Maria Leavitt/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1633	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 8 introduces specific limitation, i.e., "operatively linked to an amino acid sequence for a polypeptide fusion partner". None of the claims previously examined recited "operatively linked to an amino acid sequence for a polypeptide fusion partner". This limitation was not previously examined requiring a new search and consideration of the art made of record, and of the specification for support of the amendment. In addition, claims 81-87 have been added. This requires new consideration of the prior art of record, and perhaps a new search. Therefore, the amendment to the claims filed on 08-10-2101 has not been entered.

The examiner notes that the recitation of a polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 215 is broadly interpreted as encompassing the full length of SEQ ID NO: 215, with or without additional amino acids at either or both ends. To the extent that claim 1 recites that the nucleotide molecule encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 215 is operably linked to a homologous secretory sequence reading on endogenous nucleotide sequences, said recitation raises potential issues of rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 or 35 U.S.C.102 as noted by the Examiner in the SCORE Search Results Details for Application 10591451 and Search Result 3, Wang et al., copy attached hereto.

.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments rely upon and are directed to the proposed amendments. As the claims' amendment has not been entered, applicants' arguments based on the proposed amendment are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejections of record are maintained..